# FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICE Departmental Program Structure and Outcome Measures

### VISION:

The Vision of the Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Service is to keep our communities safe and healthy by providing comprehensive and effective life safety and property protection services through diverse partnerships.

#### MISSION:

The Mission of the combined and integrated Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Service is to protect life, property, and the environment by providing

- · Comprehensive emergency medical, fire, and disaster prevention/educational programs, and
- Effective and efficient readiness, response, and emergency management

through skilled, motivated, and compassionate service providers.

### **GUIDING PRINCIPLES:**

Montgomery County's Fire and Rescue Service providers will:

- · Serve with integrity and mutual respect
- · Recognize the importance of diversity in our workforce and communities
- Promote the efficient and effective utilization of our resources
- · Deliver services to our customers with impartiality and excellence
- Promote the highest standards of safety and welfare
- Be responsible for the honor of our profession and the public service we provide
- Maintain and promote open communication, creativity, and competence
- · Be accountable and ethical
- · Continuously improve public confidence and trust

### **PROGRAMS:**

- Operational Fire and Rescue Readiness and Response
- Special Operations
- · Wellness, Safety, and Training
- Fire and Rescue Logistics Services
- Volunteer Fire and Rescue Services
- Fire and Rescue Prevention and Public Education
- Administration

#### **FY04 RESOURCES:**

- 33 fire-rescue stations
- 930 career uniformed fire fighter-rescuers
- 922 ICES (Integrated Emergency Command Structure)-certified volunteer fire fighter-rescuers
- 31 front-line engines, engine-tankers, and guints b
- 46 front-line emergency medical services units (30 ambulances and 16 medic units)
- 14 front-line aerial units (ladder trucks, aerial towers)
- 9 front-line heavy rescue squads
- 6 front-line tankers
- 13 front-line brush units
- 9 front-line rescue boats
- Hazmat, bomb squad, water rescue, and collapse rescue specialty teams
- · Command, air, canteen, utility, and fire fighter rehabilitation units

### KEY SERVICE FACTORS (2002):°

- 98,936 fire-rescue incidents, 73% of which involved emergency medical services
- 51,111 patients served; 47,821 transported to hospitals
- 179,728 individual unit responses an average of 492 per day
- 22 units with more than 2,500 responses per year (19 emergency medical services units, 2 engines, 1 rescue squad)
- A fire-rescue unit was dispatched every 2.9 minutes (on average)

DEPARTMENTAL OUTCOMES	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05
DEI AITTMENTAL OUTOOMES	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	CE REC
Percentage of structure fires confined to room of origin	76.3	76.8	78.8	78.6	78.8
Number of civilian fire deaths in structures	3	13	6	<sup>d</sup> 5	0
Total fire loss (\$millions)	23.7	23.1	25.0	39.6	30.4
Percentage of successful defibrillations (national average: 5%)	27.8	23.2	25.6	25.0	24.0
Percentage of successful intubations	80.5	73.5	76.5	76.0	75.0
Percentage of emergency phone calls processed within 1 minute	NA	NA	52.0	60.0	65.0
Total number of training hours provided by Training Academy	167,000	167,000	167,000	170,000	187,000

#### Notes:

<sup>a</sup>"Front-line" refers to units that are regularly staffed and are shown as available for dispatch in the Computer-Aided Dispatch System.

<sup>b</sup>A "quint" is a single vehicle that combines the capabilities of an engine and an aerial unit.

c2003 statistics are not yet available.

dAs of March 3, 2004.

PROGRAM:

Fire and Rescue Prevention and Public Education

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

#### PROGRAM MISSION:

To provide life safety education to children and adults in order to prevent or mitigate injuries and incidents

## COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- · Protection of the lives and property of County residents and visitors through safety and prevention programs
- Promotion of a culture of preparedness and prevention within the County
- Improvement of the health, safety, and well-being of children and adults

FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05
ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	CE REC
•	-	•	•	30,400
_		<del>-</del>	Ū	0
	,	•		100,000
425	450	500	600	300
NA	NA	90	100	100
NA	100	100	100	100
82	100	83	100	100
0.89	0.72	0.71	1.78	3.60
207,143	214,286	238,095	95,238	48,780
2,000	20,000	20,000	°10,000	5,000
1,000	12,500	15,000	°5,000	2,500
100	2,000	1,700	°1,000	500
100	200	400	°200	50
10	100	125	<sup>c</sup> 100	75
35	42	50	52	55
0	0	1	5	2
NA	NA	30	90	120
50	50	30	40	40
41	50	25	40	40
1,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	0
500	1,000	1,200	1,500	2,000
	· <u>·</u>			
386	324	353	355	360
2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
	23,689 3 435,000 425  NA NA 82  0.89 207,143  2,000 1,000 100 100 100 100 100 110 35 0 NA 50 41 1,000 500	ACTUAL  23,689 23,111 3 13 435,000 450,000 425 450  NA NA NA 100 82 100  0.89 0.72 207,143 214,286  2,000 20,000 1,000 12,500 100 20,000 100 20,000 100 100 35 42 0 0 0 NA NA 50 50 41 50 1,000 500 1,000	ACTUAL         ACTUAL         ACTUAL           23,689         23,111         24,994           3         13         6           435,000         450,000         500,000           425         450         500           NA         NA         90           NA         100         100           82         100         83           0.89         0.72         0.71           207,143         214,286         238,095           2,000         20,000         20,000           1,000         12,500         15,000           100         2,000         1,700           100         200         400           10         100         125           35         42         50           0         0         1           NA         NA         30           50         50         30           41         50         25           1,000         5,000         10,000           500         1,000         1,200	ACTUAL         ACTUAL         ACTUAL         BUDGET           23,689         23,111         24,994         39,600           3         13         6         b5           435,000         450,000         500,000         c200,000           425         450         500         600           NA         NA         90         100           NA         100         100         100           82         100         83         100           0.89         0.72         0.71         1.78           207,143         214,286         238,095         95,238           2,000         20,000         20,000         c10,000           1,000         12,500         15,000         c5,000           100         2,000         1,700         c1,000           100         2,000         1,700         c1,000           100         2,000         1,700         c5,000           10         100         125         c100           35         42         50         52           0         0         1         5           NA         NA         30         90

## Notes:

<sup>a</sup>The FY03 increase in fire loss resulted from a significant number of multi-million dollar structure fires, most notably the Trolley Museum fire which involved a \$10 million loss. The increased fire loss is also being fueled by higher residential property assessments, the rising number of 911 calls, and the increase in (and aging of) the County's population.

<sup>c</sup>The projected downward trends reflect the pressures on the Fire and Rescue Service (FRS) budget. Historically, staffing support for community outreach has been supplemented by career fire and rescue staff working on overtime status. Budgetary constraints have resulted in the capping of overtime, which precludes the use of FRS personel in that capacity, and in the elimination of the publication budget for community outreach (printed literature is especially important when no personal contact is made and literature must be left at the door).

<sup>d</sup>All figures for FY01 - FY05 are estimates due to incomplete reporting by those installing and adjusting car seats. An effective reporting mechanism will be in place for FY05. The FY05 projection reflects anticipated limits on overtime funding.

<sup>e</sup>CHAMP (the Community Hazard Awareness and Mitigation Program) is modelled after the Federal Emergency Management Agency's CERT (Community Emergency Response Teams) program and involves training County residents to be better prepared for hazards that threaten their communities. Participants serve as community safety advocates and augment the response capability of the Fire and Rescue Service in the aftermath of a major disaster or emergency when first responders may be overwhelmed or unable to respond due to communication or transportation difficulties.

<sup>1</sup>The FY03 and FY04 budget cuts and cost savings plans led to a significant reduction in the printing and publication budget, with a corresponding reduction in printed materials available for distribution and a greater focus on Web-based distribution of safety information.

## **EXPLANATION:**

The Fire and Rescue Service provides a variety of life safety educational services to the public, including Safety in Our Neighborhood (which involves home and community visits by station personnel), Risk Watch (a fire safety curriculum for children in grades K - 8 in partnership with the Montgomery County Public Schools), Learn to Be Safe (a child safety program addressing safe bicycling, safe swimming, pedestrian safety, and car occupancy safety), health care workshops, Operation Extinguish (which focuses on juvenile offenders who have been involved with fire-setting, fireworks, pipe bombs, and/or tripping fire alarms), and Business, Residential, School, and Institutional Safety Training (which includes assistance in developing fire evacuation procedures). The Car Safety Seat Program provides child safety seats to families and training on the proper installation and use of those seats. In addition, the County's local fire and rescue departments provide the public with information about fire prevention and develop programs to increase public awareness of fire prevention.

PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: local fire and rescue departments; area police departments; National SAFE KIDS Foundation; Department of Housing and Community Affairs; Department of Health and Human Services; Montgomery County Public Libraries; Montgomery County Public Schools; various private and home schools; local Chambers of Commerce; the insurance industry; Crysalis Group Inc.; Maryland Department of Juvenile Justice; Federal Emergency Management Agency; National Fire Protection Association.

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Medical Services Master Plan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>As of March 3, 2004.

## PROGRAM:

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Operational Fire and Rescue Readiness and Response

Field Staffing - Emergency Response to Fire-Rescue Incidents

#### PROGRAM MISSION:

To provide timely and effective emergency medical and fire suppression services to protect citizens and property

## COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- Protection of the public in the event of incidents involving injury, cardiac or respiratory distress, bleeding, shock, acute illness, and other incidents requiring emergency medical services
- · Protection of persons and property in the event of incidents involving fire, explosion, hazmat incidents, and related emergencies

PROGRAM MEASURES	FY01 ACTUAL	FY02 ACTUAL	FY03 ACTUAL	FY04 BUDGET	FY05 CE REC
Outcomes/Results:					
Percentage of structure fires confined to room of origin	76.3	76.6	78.8	78.6	78.8
Service Quality:					
Percentage of basic life support responses < 6 min. in Urban Area	61.7	72.0	75.4	<sup>d</sup> 78.5	82.3
Percentage of basic life support responses < 6 min. in Suburban Area	45.8	52.4	62.7	<sup>d</sup> 67.5	75.2
Percentage of basic life support responses < 6 min. in Rural Area	23.9	35.4	48.4	<sup>d</sup> 51.3	60.7
Percentage of advanced life support responses < 8 min. in Urban Area	80.3	96.2	84.7	<sup>d</sup> 83.0	86.9
Percentage of advanced life support responses < 8 min. in Suburban Area	69.5	89.2	76.8	<sup>d</sup> 76.9	80.5
Percentage of advanced life support responses < 8 min. in Rural Area	46.6	85.1	65.3	<sup>d</sup> 67.0	74.7
Percentage of fire responses < 6 min. in Urban Area	62.2	94.4	69.5	<sup>d</sup> 69.9	72.0
Percentage of fire responses < 6 min. in Suburban Area	36.4	83.1	44.1	<sup>d</sup> 45.0	47.2
Percentage of fire responses < 6 min. in Rural Area	NA	87.5	39.2	<sup>d</sup> 39.0	38.2
Efficiency:					
Patients treated per year per primary emergency medical services unit	920	1,123	1,350	1,396	1,456
Average number of structure fires extinguished per front-line <sup>a</sup> engine/	12.4	12.3	11.8	<sup>d</sup> 12.2	14.3
truck					
Workload/Outputs:					
Number of responses to basic life support incidents	44,753	46,020	47,274	<sup>d</sup> 48,706	48,534
Number of responses to advanced life support incidents	23,102	24,800	25,445	<sup>d</sup> 26,200	26,616
Number of responses to structural fires	1,930	1,715	1,725	<sup>d</sup> 1,706	1,711
Number of responses to other incidents <sup>b</sup>	<u>25,315</u>	<u>24,239</u>	<u>25,114</u>	<u>⁴25,018</u>	<u>25,423</u>
Total responses to incidents	95,100	96,774	99,558	<sup>d</sup> 101,630	102,284
Average number of incidents per day	261	265	273	<sup>d</sup> 275	280
Average number of unit responses per day	474	492	544	516	512
Number of patients treated	36,823	47,199	56,704	57,251	58,201
Number of patients transported	33,252	41,931	50,028	51,034	50,505
Inputs:					
Expenditures - emergency operations (\$000)	68,021	69,731	75,845	84,093	91,621
Career workyears	888.0	877.5	866.1	875.8	873.8
Response active volunteers <sup>c</sup>	493	506	379	478	481

### Notes:

## **EXPLANATION:**

Response time is the elapsed time from the 911 call to arrival of Fire and Rescue Service units. During FY00, response time goals were established for urban, suburban and rural areas, with goals being most stringent for the Urban Zone and least stringent for the Rural Zone. Response to emergency medical services and fire incidents is a product of cooperative efforts by both the career and volunteer elements of the Service to meet County Council-adopted response time goals.

PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: Maryland Institute of Emergency Medical Services Systems, hospitals, mutual aid departments, State Fire Marshal's Office, Maryland Emergency Management Agency, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives.

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Medical Services Master Plan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Primary and front-line units are units that are regularly staffed and are shown as available for dispatch in the Computer-Aided Dispatch System.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>"Other incidents" are non-structure fires and/or miscellaneous alarm calls (e.g., alarm bells, automatic fire alarms, automatic home fire alarms, etc.).

 $<sup>^\</sup>circ$ Volunteers who have responded to a sufficient number of annual incidents to earn 30 Length of Service Award Program points.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>These figures represent revised estimates for FY04.

PROGRAM:

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Operational Fire and Rescue Readiness and Response

Fire and Rescue Communications

#### PROGRAM MISSION:

To provide quick, accurate emergency response and assistance to the citizens of Montgomery County

### COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- · Increase the survival rate for patients needing Advanced Life Support
- Decrease fire loss
- · Decrease fire deaths and injuries

PROGRAM MEASURES	FY01 ACTUAL	FY02 ACTUAL	FY03 ACTUAL	FY04 BUDGET	FY05 CE REC
Outcomes/Results:					
Percentage of calls for emergency service processed within 1 minute <sup>a</sup>	NA	NA	52	60	65
Service Quality:					
Number of complaints received	NA	NA	137	100	75
Percentage of emergency calls answered within standard <sup>b</sup>	77	80	85	85	88
Average time to answer a 911 call (seconds)	6	5	5	9	7
Efficiency:					
Cost per call handled (\$)	6.12	7.11	7.51	7.74	11.16
Cost per dispatch (\$)	NA	NA	36.56	34.88	48.99
Total emergency and routine calls handled per telephone position	NA	NA	55,555	57,586	58,496
Total 911 calls handled per 911 operator position	NA	NA	48,108	52,019	53,564
Average number of minutes to process a call (all calls)	NA	NA	6.5	6.0	6.0
Workload/Outputs:					
911 calls processed by Fire and Rescue Communications <sup>c</sup>					
Advanced Life Support dispatches	23,102	25,514	25,840	27,300	28,600
Basic Life Support dispatches	44,753	44,051	39,117	49,035	51,369
Fire dispatches	NA	NA	15,787	16,261	16,748
Other dispatches <sup>d</sup>	NA	NA	21,916	22,500	23,250
Administrative events (action required) <sup>e</sup>	NA	NA	14,674	15,780	16,290
Other administrative calls (no action required)	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>75,097</u>	<u>77,200</u>	78,000
TOTAL 911 telephone contacts	NA	NA	192,431	208,076	214,257
Non-911 calls (incoming and outgoing) <sup>f</sup>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>307,561</u>	310,200	312,210
TOTAL emergency and routine telephone calls handled	461,692	486,927	499,992	518,276	526,467
Number of public briefings given	NA	NA	36	40	45
Number of staff-hours of training provided	NA	NA	14,976	15,000	15,000
Number of tours of the new Public Safety Communications Center	NA	NA	26	30	30
Inputs:					
Expenditures (\$000)	2,827	3,463	3,753	4,014	<sup>9</sup> 5,877
Workyears	37.7	44.1	44.1	44.1	<sup>9</sup> 58.6
Number of telephone positions	NA	NA	9.0	9.0	9.0
Number of 911 operator positions	NA	NA	4.0	4.0	4.0
Notes					

## Notes:

#### **EXPLANATION:**

The Fire-Rescue Communication Center opened its doors on July 21, 2003. The new Center boasts the most technologically advanced facility in the region and is the epicenter for the County's fire, emergency medical services, police, traffic, and emergency management. In addition, the Fire and Rescue 911 Center acts as the secondary public safety answering point for Montgomery County. The Center provides emergency and non-emergency call-taking for all fire, rescue, and emergency medical service needs, with limited primary 911 service at all times and secondary 911 service when police resources are overwhelmed. Center staff provide emergency medical instructions to callers using Emergency Medical Dispatch protocols to ensure appropriate medical care prior to the arrival of the responder. In addition to 911 support, the Center provides coordination and support to the County's Emergency Operations Center.

PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: Police Emergency Communication Center, Montgomery County Department of Technology Services, Montgomery County Office of Emergency Management, Montgomery County Traffic Management Center, Verizon, Association of Public Safety Communications Officials, Maryland Emergency Management Association, Emergency Services Numbers Board, Northrup Grumman, Emergency Management Center, Emergency Operations Center, Motorola, Montgomery County Radio Shop.

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: Fire and Rescue Commission Communication Manual; Emergency Medical Dispatch Protocol; Association of Public Safety Communications Officials Training Program; Emergency Management Based Reporting System (EMBRS); Code of Maryland Annotated Regulations (COMAR); standards and protocols issued by the National Fire Protection Association, Association of Public Safety Communication Officials, National Emergency Management Association, and Maryland Emergency Management Association; FIREHOUSE software.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Processing time is measured from receipt of the 911 call to the point at which the station or personnel are alerted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>The standard is ten seconds or two rings (COMAR 12.11.03.09).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Excludes incoming cell phone calls, of which there were 114,961 in FY03. It is currently not possible to determine whether such calls were handled by Police or by Fire - Rescue communications personnel. (It is estimated that about 85% of such calls are handled by the Police Emergency Communication Center.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Includes all chemical, specialty team, undetermined, rescue, training, details (special assignments not available to take calls), and non-specific events.

elncludes all other routine and emergency events (system calls [e.g. notification that a system has been taken out of service], drills, etc.) which receive an incident number, are entered into the Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) system, and require action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Includes direct station lines (calls over direct lines to other stations, other municipalities, the Police, etc.), hospital notifications, utility company notifications, business calls, etc. received and placed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>The increases reflect additional staff needed to implement new telephone operating procedures and the new 800 mhz communications system.

PROGRAM:

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

**Special Operations** 

Fire and Explosive Investigations/Bomb Squad

#### PROGRAM MISSION:

To identify hazards and dangerous products that cause accidental and incendiary fires, to safely mitigate explosive-related incidents, to identify and bring to justice those persons responsible for arson and explosive-related crimes, and to continue to provide fire/arson/explosive awareness training while working in partnership with the community to improve the quality of life within the County

## COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- Protection of lives and property of County residents, businesses, and visitors
- · Respect for the law
- An informed community concerning fire/arson/explosive awareness
- · Responsive government
- · Efficient and effective fire and rescue services

PROGRAM MEASURES	FY01 ACTUAL	FY02 ACTUAL	FY03 ACTUAL	FY04 BUDGET	FY05 CE REC
Outcomes/Results:					
Number of individuals arrested	49	63	79	60	77
Total estimated loss due to incendiary fires investigated (\$000)	2,607	3,425	2,474	3,452	2,890
Percentage of investigated incendiary dollar loss closed	1.9	59.0	21.0	2.4	24
Percentage of fires for which a cause was determined	83	87	87	87	88.5
Service Quality:					
Closure rate for criminal incidents <sup>a</sup> (%)	23.1	23.6	33.0	25.8	30.0
Average elapsed time on explosive incidents (hours) <sup>b</sup>	2.6	2.1	4.2	2.4	3.0
Percentage of investigators certified as Hazardous Devices	80	80	90	90	90
Technicians <sup>c</sup>					
Efficiency:					
Average number of incidents investigated/mitigated per workyear <sup>c</sup>	68.3	98.7	65.4	71.9	65.0
Percentage of time spent on:					
Origin and cause investigation	19.0	23.0	19.0	20	20
Criminal investigation	22.0	14.0	20.0	20	20
Suspicious packages (explosive, chemical/biological)	0.9	21.0	10.0	25	15
Community outreach <sup>d</sup>	24.0	18.0	5.0	15	15
Administration (court time, meetings, report writing, etc.)	34.1	24.0	46.0	20	30
Workload/Outputs:					
Number of fire incidents investigated	508	376	349	527	377
Number of criminal incidents investigated	160	165	157	155	145
Number of explosive-related incidents investigated	125	<sup>e</sup> 647	305	151	343
Number of devices found and mitigated	30	17	36	44	40
Number of criminal cases closed	37	39	52	51	48
Inputs:					
Expenditures (\$000)	1,512	1,272	1,421	1,471	1,609
Workyears	12.6	12.6	13.6	13.4	13.4

## Notes:

## **EXPLANATION:**

This program continues to have a closure rate for arson cases well above the national average of 16 - 18%. Emphasis is placed on investigating those incidents where a large loss has occurred or where witnesses and/or evidence are found on the scene. The program is also responsible for operating a Bomb Squad that responds to bomb/explosive-related incidents and all acts of terrorism. The program is responsible for conducting all fire and explosive criminal investigations. In addition, the program is strongly committed to providing proactive, community-based educational programs where citizen involvement is encouraged.

PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives; FBI; Montgomery County Hazardous Incident Response Team; Montgomery County Police; state and local law enforcement agencies; Fire Code Enforcement Section; local fire marshal offices; Montgomery County Public Schools; Consumer Product Safety Commission; State's Attorney; insurance industry; news media.

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: Montgomery County Fire Safety Code, Maryland Criminal Procedures Article, Public Safety Article, National Fire Protection Association Standards 921 and 1033, Federal Explosive Laws.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Most criminal incidents involve arson or explosives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>From the time of notification of the incident until the incident has been mitigated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Based on a staff of 10 Fire/Explosive Investigators.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Arson awareness/prevention, bomb threat assessment, fire/injury prevention, bomb search procedure, juvenile fire setting intervention.

eA large increase in explosive-related incidents occurred following the September 11, 2001 terrorist attack and the anthrax incidents.

### PROGRAM:

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Special Operations

Fire Code Enforcement

#### PROGRAM MISSION:

To provide a living and working environment free from fire and life safety hazards through enforcement of the Fire Safety Code

### COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- Protection of the lives and property of County citizens
- Responsive government

Percentage of enforcement inspections with no fire code violations found	PROGRAM MEASURES	FY01 ACTUAL	FY02 ACTUAL	FY03 ACTUAL	FY04 BUDGET	FY05 CE REC
Total number of occupancies found to have fire code violations	Outcomes/Results: <sup>a</sup>					
Total number of occupancies found to have fire code violations  NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Namber of fires where a code violation affected the incident outcome   Name of fires where a code violation affected the incident outcome   Name of fires where a code violation affected the incident outcome   Name of fires where a code violation affected the incident outcome   Name of fires where a code violation affected the incident outcome   Name of N	· ·	NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Number of referred hazmat facilities brought into compliance by Office of Fire NA NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Code Enforcement followup Total square footage of commercial space approved for occupancy (100,000 sq. ft.) NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Percentage of total structural value damaged by fire in sprinklered buildings NA NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Percentage of total structural value damaged by fire in non-sprinklered buildings NA	Total violations found	NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Code Enforcement followup  Total square footage of commercial space approved for occupancy (100,000 sq. ft.)  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  N	Number of fires where a code violation affected the incident outcome	NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Total square footage of commercial space approved for occupancy (100,000 sq. ft.)  Percentage of total structural value damaged by fire in sprinklered buildings  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  N	Number of referred hazmat facilities brought into compliance by Office of Fire	NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Percentage of total structural value damaged by fire in sprinklered buildings NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Percentage of total structural value damaged by fire in non-sprinklered buildings NA NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Service Quality:  Percentage of violations remedied within 30 days*  Percentage of violations that result in the issuance of a civil citation*  Percentage of violations that result in the issuance of a civil citation*  NA NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Percentage of inspector including violations overtured on review*  NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Percentage of inspector including service as satisfactory or better 99 civil surveyed customers that rate service as satisfactory or better 99 99.5. 90 °NA 95 Percentage of surveyed customers that rate service as satisfactory or better 99 99.5. 90 °NA 95 Percentage of inspectors certified as NFPA Fire Inspector II¹*  100 90 90 90 83 100 Etfliciency:  ***Efficiency:**  ***Average on the per inspection (S)**  Average on the per inspection (Flours)**  Average on unber of inspection flours)*  Average number of inspection per inspector per day  Average number of more per complex structure inspected*  NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Average number of work hours per complex structure inspected*  NA NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Average number of non-inspection customer contacts per staff day*  Average number of non-inspection customer contacts per staff day*  Average number of non-inspection sper ton:  Inspections  86 92 86 65 75  Administration  **TBD**  **TBD*	Code Enforcement followup					
Percentage of total structural value damaged by fire in non-sprinklered buildings  Service Quality:  Percentage of violations remedied within 30 days*  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  N	Total square footage of commercial space approved for occupancy (100,000 sq. ft.)	NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Percentage of violations remedied within 30 days   Percentage of violations remedied within 30 days   Percentage of violations remedied within 30 days   NA	Percentage of total structural value damaged by fire in sprinklered buildings	NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Percentage of violations remedied within 30 days    Percentage of violations remedied within 30 days    Percentage of violations that result in the issuance of a civil citation    NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Percentage of inspector noted violations overturned on review    Percentage of inspector noted violations overturned on review    NA NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Percentage of single-family inspections completed within 48 hours    NA NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Percentage of single-family inspections completed within 48 hours    NA N	Percentage of total structural value damaged by fire in non-sprinklered buildings	NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Percentage of violations that result in the issuance of a civil citation* NA	Service Quality:					
Percentage of violations that result in the issuance of a civil citation <sup>a</sup> NA NA NA NA NA TBD Percentage of inspector noted violations overturned on review <sup>a</sup> NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Percentage of inspector noted violations overturned on review <sup>a</sup> NA NA NA NA NA NA NA TBD Percentage of single-family inspections completed within 48 hours <sup>a</sup> NA Percentage of single-family inspections completed within 7 working days NA	Percentage of violations remedied within 30 days <sup>a</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Percentage of single-family inspections completed within 48 hours* Percentage of commercial inspections completed within 7 working days NA	Percentage of violations that result in the issuance of a civil citation <sup>a</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Percentage of commercial inspections completed within 7 working days  NA NA NA NA 40 70 80 Percentage of surveyed customers that rate service as satisfactory or better 99 99.5 90 90.83 100  Efficiency:  Average cost per inspection (\$)  Average per inspection per inspector per day  Average per inspection (hours)*  Average time per inspection (hours)*  Average immber of inspection per inspector per day  Average immber of own k hours per complex structure inspected*  NA	Percentage of inspector noted violations overturned on review <sup>a</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Percentage of surveyed customers that rate service as satisfactory or better 99 99.5 90 9NA 95 Percentage of inspectors certified as NFPA Fire Inspector II <sup>b</sup> 100 90 90 90 83 100  Efficiency:  ***Efficiency:**  ***Efficiency:**  ***Parcentage cost per inspection (\$)  **NA NA N	Percentage of single-family inspections completed within 48 hours <sup>a</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Percentage of inspectors certified as NFPA Fire Inspector II <sup>b</sup>   100   90   90   83   100	Percentage of commercial inspections completed within 7 working days	NA	NA	40	70	80
Average cost per inspection (\$)	Percentage of surveyed customers that rate service as satisfactory or better	99	99.5	90	<sup>g</sup> NA	95
Average cost per inspection (\$)  Average number of inspections per inspector per day  Average number of inspections per inspector per day  Average time per inspection (hours) <sup>a</sup> Average number of work hours per complex structure inspected <sup>a</sup> Average number of non-inspection customer contacts per staff day <sup>a</sup> Percentage of inspector time spent on:  Inspections  Administration  Before a continuing education provided for inspectors and account of continuing education provided for inspectors and account inspectors and account inspectors and accountinuing education provided for inspectors and accounting the second inspectors and accounting time second in the second inspectors and accounting education provided for inspectors and accounting time second inspectors and accounting education provided for inspectors and accounting time second inspectors and accounting time second inspectors and accounting education provided for inspectors and accounting time second inspectors and accounting time second inspectors and accounting education provided for inspectors and accounting time second inspectors and accounting education provided for inspectors and accounting time second inspectors and accounting time second inspectors and accounting education provided for inspectors and accounting time second inspector and accounting time second inspector and accounting time second inspector and account inspector and accounting time second inspector and account inspector and	Percentage of inspectors certified as NFPA Fire Inspector IIb	100	90	90	83	100
Average number of inspections per inspector per day  Average number of inspection (hours) <sup>a</sup> Average time per inspection (hours) <sup>a</sup> Average number of work hours per complex structure inspected <sup>a</sup> Average number of non-inspection customer contacts per staff day <sup>a</sup> Average number of inspection customer contacts per staff day <sup>a</sup> Average number of inspection customer contacts per staff day <sup>a</sup> NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  N	Efficiency:					
Average time per inspection (hours) <sup>a</sup> Average number of work hours per complex structure inspected <sup>a</sup> Average number of non-inspection customer contacts per staff day <sup>a</sup> Average number of non-inspection customer contacts per staff day <sup>a</sup> Average number of non-inspection customer contacts per staff day <sup>a</sup> NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  N	Average cost per inspection (\$)	NA	NA	NA	130	130
Average number of work hours per complex structure inspected	Average number of inspections per inspector per day	NA	NA	NA	6.8	7.8
Average number of non-inspection customer contacts per staff day <sup>a</sup> NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  N	Average time per inspection (hours) <sup>a</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Percentage of inspector time spent on:  Inspections 86 92 86 65 75 Administration 14 8 14 h35 25  Workload/Outputs:  Number of enforcement inspections 1,364 e1,800 f2,000 2,860 3,000 Number of new occupancy inspections 1,607 e2,600 f3,000 3,840 4,500 Number of new construction inspections 648 e800 f1,000 1,100 1,400 Number of permits and licenses inspections 1,755 e2,300 f2,500 3,400 3,400 Number of systems inspections/tests 3,231 e3,856 f4,000 f6,000 6,500 Number of permits issued NA	Average number of work hours per complex structure inspected <sup>a</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Inspections	Average number of non-inspection customer contacts per staff day <sup>a</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Administration         14         8         14         *35         25           Workload/Outputs:         Workload/Outputs:         1,364         *1,800         *12,000         2,860         3,000           Number of new occupancy inspections         1,607         *2,600         *3,000         3,840         4,500           Number of new construction inspections         648         *800         *1,000         1,100         1,400           Number of permits and licenses inspections         1,755         *2,300         *2,500         3,400         3,400           Number of systems inspections/tests*         3,231         *3,856         *4,000         6,000         6,500           Number of permits issued*         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         300           Number of non-inspection customer contacts*         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         60,000           Total training hours provided         291         311         300         *1,150         340           Hours of continuing education provided for inspectors*         1,112         510         500         *480         *680	Percentage of inspector time spent on:					
Workload/Outputs:         Number of enforcement inspections         1,364         °1,800         '2,000         2,860         3,000           Number of new occupancy inspections         1,607         °2,600         '3,000         3,840         4,500           Number of new construction inspections         648         °800         '1,000         1,100         1,400           Number of permits and licenses inspections         1,755         °2,300         '2,500         3,400         3,400           Number of systems inspections/tests <sup>c</sup> 3,231         °3,856         '4,000         '6,000         6,500           Number of permits issued <sup>a</sup> NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA           Number of non-inspection customer contacts <sup>a</sup> NA         NA         NA         NA         60,000           Total training hours provided         291         311         300         '1,150         340           Hours of continuing education provided for inspectors <sup>d</sup> 1,112         510         500         '480         '680	Inspections	86	92	86		
Number of enforcement inspections 1,364 °1,800 ¹2,000 2,860 3,000 Number of new occupancy inspections 1,607 °2,600 ¹3,000 3,840 4,500 Number of new construction inspections 648 °800 ¹1,000 1,100 1,400 Number of permits and licenses inspections 1,755 °2,300 ¹2,500 3,400 3,400 Number of systems inspections/testsc 3,231 °3,856 ¹4,000 ¹6,000 6,500 Number of permits issueda NA	Administration	14	8	14	<sup>h</sup> 35	25
Number of new occupancy inspections         1,607         °2,600         '3,000         3,840         4,500           Number of new construction inspections         648         °800         '1,000         1,100         1,400           Number of permits and licenses inspections         1,755         °2,300         '2,500         3,400         3,400           Number of systems inspections/tests <sup>c</sup> 3,231         °3,856         '4,000         '6,000         6,500           Number of permits issued <sup>a</sup> NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         300           Number of non-inspection customer contacts <sup>a</sup> NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         60,000           Total training hours provided         291         311         300         '1,150         340           Hours of continuing education provided for inspectors <sup>d</sup> 1,112         510         500         '480         '680	Workload/Outputs:					
Number of new construction inspections         648         °800         ¹1,000         1,100         1,400           Number of permits and licenses inspections         1,755         °2,300         ¹2,500         3,400         3,400           Number of systems inspections/tests <sup>c</sup> 3,231         °3,856         ¹4,000         ¹6,000         6,500           Number of permits issued <sup>a</sup> NA         N	Number of enforcement inspections	1,364	°1,800			
Number of permits and licenses inspections       1,755       °2,300       '2,500       3,400       3,400         Number of systems inspections/tests <sup>c</sup> 3,231       °3,856       '4,000       '6,000       6,500         Number of permits issued³       NA	Number of new occupancy inspections	1,607	°2,600	f3,000	3,840	4,500
Number of systems inspections/tests <sup>c</sup> 3,231         *3,856         *4,000         *6,000         6,500           Number of permits issued*         NA	Number of new construction inspections		°800			
Number of permits issued <sup>a</sup> NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  N	Number of permits and licenses inspections	1,755	°2,300	<sup>f</sup> 2,500	3,400	3,400
Number of non-inspection customer contacts <sup>a</sup> NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  N	Number of systems inspections/tests <sup>c</sup>	3,231		<sup>f</sup> 4,000	<sup>i</sup> 6,000	6,500
Total training hours provided 291 311 300 <sup>1</sup> 1,150 340 Hours of continuing education provided for inspectors <sup>d</sup> 1,112 510 500 <sup>1</sup> 480 <sup>1</sup> 680 Inputs:	Number of permits issued <sup>a</sup>		NA	NA	NA	
Hours of continuing education provided for inspectors <sup>d</sup> 1,112 510 500 <sup>1</sup> 480 <sup>1</sup> 680 Inputs:	Number of non-inspection customer contacts <sup>a</sup>					
Inputs:	Total training hours provided				•	
	Hours of continuing education provided for inspectors <sup>d</sup>	1,112	510	500	<sup>i</sup> 480	<sup>'</sup> 680
- W (A)	Inputs:					
	Expenditures (\$000)	1,109	1,071	1,241	2,236	2,451
Workyears 12.7 11.7 12.7 <sup>1</sup> 19.4 21.6	Workyears	12.7	11.7	12.7	19.4	21.6

## Notes:

<sup>i</sup>Reflects an increase in personnel and inspections to implement the residential sprinkler requirements and pending business process improvements. These changes will take effect in the last quarter of FY04 and the beginning of FY05.

Increase reflects 640 hours provided by the Maryland State Fire Marshall for code updates and required training for new inspectors to implement the residential sprinkler program. Does not include continuing education required to maintain Fire Inspector II certification.

### **EXPLANATION:**

The Office of Fire Code Enforcement provides new construction and new occupancy inspections and system testing for all commercial and residential occupancies in the County to ensure compliance with applicable fire and life safety laws and code requirements prior to occupancy or opening for business. Service is provided on demand to allow the greatest degree of flexibility in meeting customer needs. Enforcement inspections are conducted in response to specific complaints received from other agencies, fire and rescue field units, and the general public.

PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: Department of Permitting Services, Department of Environmental Protection, Department of Health and Human Services, Department of Economic Development, Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Housing Opportunities Commission, Montgomery County Public Schools, Maryland State Fire Marshal, Maryland Child Care Administration, cities of Rockville and Gaithershum

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: Montgomery County Fire Safety Code, National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 101 and associated NFPA standards, Article 38A Fire Laws of Maryland.

aThese represent new measures currently under development.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>The State requires inspectors to be certified to level I. Montgomery County certifies to level II so that inspectors can evaluate more complex issues in the field.

<sup>°</sup>Systems inspections/tests include the testing of fire alarms, sprinklers, standpipes, and other fire suppression devices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Reflects continuing education hours required by the National Fire Protection Association to maintain Fire Inspector II certifications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>This figure reflects a change in the counting method from FY01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>Estimated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>The customer survey was not conducted in FY03.

hAs of FY04, time spent completing reports is included in Administration.

## PROGRAM:

Special Operations

## PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Hazardous Materials Regulation; Community Right-to-Know and Permit/Revenues Administration

## **PROGRAM MISSION:**

To provide the highest quality of services, while working in partnership with community leaders, business partners, environmentalists, emergency responders, and others to ensure protection of citizens and emergency responders regarding hazardous materials in the community, and to improve the quality of life for all citizens

## **COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:**

- · Protect the lives and property of County residents, businesses, and visitors
- Identify potentially hazardous chemical, biological, and radiological substances at fixed sites within the community
- · Encourage a reduction in chemical inventories and substitution of less hazardous materials

PROGRAM MEASURES	FY01 ACTUAL	FY02 ACTUAL	FY03 ACTUAL	FY04 BUDGET	FY05 CE REC
Outcomes/Results:					
Number of different types of chemicals known to be stored in	67,871	65,182	72,900	65,100	63,082
the County					
Number of chemical units <sup>a</sup> known to exist in the County	182,417	176,612	182,550	176,600	183,012
Percentage of emergency plans in compliance	93	84	90	75	90
Percentage of facilities in compliance	84	84	90	75	90
Service Quality:					
Percentage of identified facilities that are issued permits	84	83	90	90	90
Efficiency:					
Average cost per facility application processed (\$)	95	100	88	96	100
Average time to process an application (hours):					
New facility	NA	2.5	6.5	6.5	7.5
Renewal	NA	1.5	2.0	1.5	2.0
New emergency plan review	NA	8.5	10.0	11.0	11.0
Renewal emergency plan review	NA	1.5	2.0	1.5	2.0
Workload/Outputs:					
Fee-regulated facilities considered reportable to Fire-Rescue	2,417	2,399	2,947	2,600	2,600
field operations					
Facility applications processed:					
New applications	299	207	386	150	150
Renewal applications	2,118	1,720	2,561	2,200	2,200
Site visits (Office of Emergency Management only)	710	180	260	150	400
Total permit revenue (\$)	604,435	498,075	553,832	688,830	700,000
Inputs:					
Expenditures (\$)	230,000	193,432	260,000	226,700	236,000
Workyears	4.0	4.0	3.3	3.1	3.0
Netec					

#### Notes:

#### EXPLANATION:

The collection of hazmat data from fixed sites is regulated under the Federal Environmental Protection Agency's Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986. County Executive Regulation 19-93 AM embodies the federal emergency response and community right-to-know requirements but significantly lowers the federal reporting thresholds. ER 19-93 AM provides for four fee categories of risk and five fee-exempt categories, based on maximum aggregate quantity and a hazard ranking of chemical inventories. Fee-exempt facilities include governmental and certain private organizations. A few facilities have voluntarily reported hazmat inventories below the regulatory reporting thresholds (these facilities are not required to report under the regulations). Businesses are encouraged to file chemical inventories electronically if they have the capability.

**PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES:** Regulated business community leaders, business partners, environmentalists, Local Emergency Planning Council members, Federal Environmental Protection Agency.

**MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES:** Montgomery County Executive Regulation 19-93 AM, Federal Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (SARA Title III), Occupational Safety and Health Administration (General Industrial Standards, HAZWOPER), EPA Clean Air Act, Section 112(r), risk management plans, EPA process safety management regulations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>A "chemical unit" corresponds to a given type of chemical stored at a given location. Thus, one site storing five different types of chemicals counts as five chemical units, as does one type of chemical stored at five separate sites.

#### PROGRAM:

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Wellness, Safety, and Training

Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Medical Services Training

## PROGRAM MISSION:

To provide, coordinate, and support the Fire and Rescue Service's current and projected training and educational initiatives in order to maintain or improve all aspects of organizational effectiveness and ensure a safe community

## COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- Protect the lives and property of County residents, businesses, and visitors
- Ensure the health and safety of fire and rescue personnel
- · Provide high value for tax dollars

FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05
ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	CE REC
745	789	812	802	880
629	647	636	656	702
1,368	1,389	1,402	1,419	1,600
90	91	90	91	100
86	87	87	88	96
3,402	3,390	3,460	3,480	3,575
37	38	40	45	45
127	130	139	145	146
30	25	27	26	28
52	52	50	50	52
130	130	134	134	134
36	36	36	36	39
51	53	56	54	59
5,818	6,450	6,134	7,346	8,000
45,190	50,000	47,595	28,716	40,000
10,890	11,724	11,724	11,760	11,800
9,600	9,642	9,702	9,792	9,900
12,418	12,642	12,500	12,742	12,900
6,500	7,500	7,822	10,471	10,500
3,005	2,859	2,859	2,378	⁴4,302
42.7	39.7	39.7	26.3	<sup>₫</sup> 50.3
	745 629 1,368 90 86 3,402 37 127 30 52 130 36 51 5,818 45,190 10,890 9,600 12,418 6,500	ACTUAL         ACTUAL           745         789           629         647           1,368         1,389           90         91           86         87           3,402         3,390           37         38           127         130           30         25           52         52           130         130           36         36           51         53           5,818         6,450           45,190         50,000           10,890         11,724           9,600         9,642           12,418         12,642           6,500         7,500           3,005         2,859	ACTUAL         ACTUAL         ACTUAL           745         789         812           629         647         636           1,368         1,389         1,402           90         91         90           86         87         87           3,402         3,390         3,460           37         38         40           127         130         139           30         25         27           52         52         50           130         130         134           36         36         36           51         53         56           5,818         6,450         6,134           45,190         50,000         47,595           10,890         11,724         11,724           9,600         9,642         9,702           12,418         12,642         12,500           6,500         7,500         7,822           3,005         2,859         2,859	ACTUAL         ACTUAL         ACTUAL         BUDGET           745         789         812         802           629         647         636         656           1,368         1,389         1,402         1,419           90         91         90         91           86         87         87         88           3,402         3,390         3,460         3,480           37         38         40         45           127         130         139         145           30         25         27         26           52         52         50         50           130         130         134         134           36         36         36         36           51         53         56         54           5,818         6,450         6,134         7,346           45,190         50,000         47,595         28,716           10,890         11,724         11,724         11,760           9,600         9,642         9,702         9,792           12,418         12,642         12,500         12,742           6,500

## Notes:

## **EXPLANATION:**

Service readiness is maintained through basic training and recertifications. The Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Commission has established minimum training standards to be delivered to all career and volunteer personnel who staff fire and emergency medical services units. The Training Division is responsible for training all personnel to these standards.

**PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES:** Maryland Fire and Rescue Institute, National Registry of Emergency Medical Technicians, Maryland Institute fo Emergency Medical Services Systems, Montgomery County Public Schools, National Fire Academy.

MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES: Maryland Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Maryland Fire and Rescue Institute class objectives, Maryland Institute of Emergency Medical Services Systems program standards, federal Department of Transportation, National Standard for Emergency Medical Technician - Basic Curriculum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Cardiac Rescue Technician - Intermediate, and Emergency Medical Technician - Paramedic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Data are from the Fire/Rescue Training Academy Post-Course Evaluation Form. This measure is an average of the overall course ratings provided by students for Fire/Rescue Training Academy courses taken during the fiscal year. The overall ratings combine assessments of five different aspects of each course: the facility, the instructor, visual materials, printed materials, and the course itself. Each student's overall rating is reported as a score of 0 to 100, with 100 being the best.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Command Officer Professional Development and Improvement, in-service, driver's test, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>The increases reflect the need to train additional recruit classes in FY05.

PROGRAM:

PROGRAM ELEMENT:

Wellness, Safety, and Training

Safety

#### PROGRAM MISSION:

To enhance the safety and welfare of career and volunteer personnel in the Fire and Rescue Services in order to reduce injuries, collisions, and workers' compensation claims and to ensure that all personnel and apparatus are available to respond to the needs of the County's citizens

#### COMMUNITY OUTCOMES SUPPORTED:

- Protect the health and safety of Fire and Rescue personnel and the general public
- · Ensure the readiness and availability of Fire and Rescue equipment and personnel to respond to emergency situations
- Provide high value for tax dollars

	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05
PROGRAM MEASURES	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ESTIMATE <sup>9</sup>	CE REC
Outcomes/Results:					
Number of fire fighter deaths	0	0	0	0	0
Total number of fire fighter injuries (lost time plus medical only)	539	526	380	171	300
Lost time injuries <sup>a</sup> (career staff)	249	262	185	77	155
Lost time injuries <sup>a</sup> (volunteers)	30	38	30	NA	NA
Medical only injuries (career staff)	185	153	112	76	75
Medical only injuries (volunteers)	75	73	53	18	20
Record-only injuries <sup>b</sup> (career staff)	142	132	106	78	88
Record-only injuries <sup>b</sup> (volunteers)	50	38	29	12	15
Number of injuries occurring at the scene of a fire	90	92	115	135	140
Total number of vehicle collisions	139	161	129	145	150
Vehicle collisions with over \$5,000 damage	3	5	6	7	6
Vehicle collisions with injuries	6	5	6	11	12
Vehicle collisions while backing up <sup>c</sup>	5	7	6	10	5
Number of stations found to have safety violations	22	20	14	12	10
Percentage of stations with no safety violations	60	50	68	80	85
Number of personal protective equipment violations found and corrected	200	175	150	125	115
Service Quality:					
Percentage of station safety inspections conducted as scheduled					
- By the station/facility commander	28	30	32	34	41
- By Safety Section personnel	34	34	34	41	41
Percentage of personal protective equipment inspections conducted as scheduled					
- By the station/facility commander	22	23	26	34	41
- By Safety Section personnel	30	31	33	34	41
Number of SCBA <sup>d</sup> units repaired within 5 working days	90	95	124	175	198
Number of "significant event" investigations completed within 90 days	3_	2	4	4	5
Efficiency:					
Program cost per fire fighter injury (excluding "record only" injuries) (\$)	1,500	1,800	1,900	2,000	2,500
Program cost per vehicle collision (\$)	1,000	1,500	1,700	1,900	2,500
Workload/Outputs:					
Number of station safety inspections by Safety Section staff	40	39	40	41	41
Number of personal protective equipment inspections by Safety Section staff	600	656	876	943	943
Number of SCBAs inspected <sup>d</sup>	650	650	655	665	665
Number of SCBA repairs conducted	2,000	2,300	2,600	2,900	3,000
Number of collision reports reviewed	135	129	139	145	150
Number of injury investigation reports reviewed	4	2	4	4	5
Number of community fire safety briefings <sup>e</sup>	0	0	12	12	6
Inputs:					
Expenditures <sup>f</sup>	NA	NA	NA	167,236	226,578
Workyears <sup>f</sup>	NA	NA	NA	2.0	2.0

#### Notes:

## EXPLANATION:

Prior to July 2003, safety oversight was a collateral responsibility of an assistant chief. In August, 2003, four Shift Safety Captains were detailed from the Bureau of Operations to the Safety Office in order to implement the Safety Management Plan. However, the cost savings plan implemented in January 2004 resulted in the return of these officers to the Bureau of Operations. The Safety Office will continue to be staffed by an Assistant Chief and a Captain to provide program management and oversight of critical safety programs. The Office will continue to manage the SCBA/Air Compressor Program and will focus on evaluating the performance and possible replacement of the present SCBA inventory. Despite the reduction in staff, the Safety Office expects to complete the same number of required inspections, investigations, and reports during FY05, although the processing time may increase.

PROGRAM PARTNERS IN SUPPORT OF OUTCOMES: Local fire and rescue departments; Montgomery County Police, Sheriff, and Correction and Rehabilitation Departments; Montgomery County Division of Risk Management; Fire-Rescue Training Academy; local, municipal, and county fire departments within the State; Maryland Occupational Safety and Health; National Fire Protection Association.

**MAJOR RELATED PLANS AND GUIDELINES:** Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Service Safety Management Plan, National Fire Protection Association Standard No. 1500 (safety standards), Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration/Maryland Occupational Safety and Health regulation 1910.134, Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Services internal rules, regulations, policies, and procedures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>These correspond to Workers Compensation Claims.

b"Record only" injuries are reported but involve neither lost time nor a need for medical consultation or care.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>These accidents are deemed highly preventable and are the focus of a major accident reduction initiative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>SCBA = Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>The Safety Office occasionally assists the Public Information Office with risk reduction presentations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Prior to FY04, the resources associated with safety activities were not distinguished from other expenditures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>All FY04 figures except expenditures and workyears are actual year-to-date results through January 31, 2004. The FY04 expenditures and workyears shown are budgeted amounts.